

Strategies for coping with the effects of agricultural land conflict among crop farmers in Imo State, Nigeria

Chibundu S. N.¹, Matthews-Njoku E. N.², Okereke-Ejiogu E. N.², Umunakwe P. C.^{2*}, Enugwu J. O.² and Oshaji I. O.²

¹Department of Entrepreneurial Studies, Alvan Federal College of Education, Owerri, Nigeria.

²Department of Agricultural Extension, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria.

Accepted 7 March, 2022

ABSTRACT

The study examined strategies used by crop farmers in coping with the effects of agricultural land conflicts in Imo State, Nigeria. A sample of 288 crop farmers selected using the multistage sampling technique participated in the study. Data were collected from the farmers using a structured interview schedule and analyzed using percentages and mean. The result showed that maize (94.1%), leafy vegetables (86.8%), yam (77.0%), fruity vegetable (73.5%) and plantain (72.8%) were the major crops grown by the farmers. Perceived causes of land conflicts included undocumented agreement over land use between individuals (97.2%), lack of marked boundaries (89.5%), increasing the value of land (89.5%), new laws, policies or programmes (72.8%), migration (72.8%) and land grabbing (72.8%). Perceived effects of land conflict on crop production were a decline in income ($\bar{X} = 3.8$), lack of financial resources ($\bar{X} = 3.8$), shortage of inputs ($\bar{X} = 3.8$), increase in the cost of inputs ($\bar{X} = 3.8$), lack of market for produce ($\bar{X} = 3.8$), land redundancy ($\bar{X} = 3.7$), improperly timed farming activities ($\bar{X} = 3.7$), loss lives ($\bar{X} = 3.7$) and reduction in plot size ($\bar{X} = 3.5$, S.D = 1.0). Coping strategies used were divesting from crop production ($\bar{X} = 4.0$), diversification of farm enterprises ($\bar{X} = 3.9$), appeasing other parties ($\bar{X} = 3.9$), praying for peace ($\bar{X} = 3.9$) and adopting less risky income-generating activities ($\bar{X} = 3.8$). It was recommended that existing land governance systems be reviewed in order to accommodate recent changes.

Keywords: Conflict resolution, coping strategies, agricultural land, crop farmers, effects, rural areas.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: polycarpchika@yahoo.com.

INTRODUCTION

Land is central to crop and livestock production. Land resources include soil, water, vegetation and other aquatic resources (Omotara, 2016). More than one billion people globally live on agriculture directly – from farming crops and livestock (International Labour Organization, 2020) while 1.6 million people rely on forest resources for all or part of their livelihoods (Reliefweb, 2020). In addition, 150 million people regard wildlife as a valuable livelihood source and an estimated 59.5 million people were engaged (on a full-time, part-time or occasional basis) in the primary sector of capture fisheries (39.0 million people) and aquaculture (0.5 million people) in

2018, a slight increase from 2016 (Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), 2020). Of the 1.2 billion people estimated to survive on less than US\$1 a day, 70% live in rural areas with high dependence on land resources (Reliefweb, 2020). However, these resources are depleting at an alarming rate. It has been reported that increasing population growth is exerting pressure on available land, making the scarcity of arable land and other forms of land a serious issue (Headey and Jayne, 2014).

Land ownership is a sign of economic power and social standing. In the process of utilizing land resources for the

diverse complex and competing social and economic activities of the people, conflicts over access and management of these resources often arise (Omotara, 2016). Land conflict is defined as a disagreement and dispute over access to and control and use of land. These conflicts often emerge when the interests and needs of different users of land are incompatible and or when the priorities of some users are not considered in projects and programmes (FAO, 2011).

According to Adisa (2012), several factors such as migration, population pressure, agricultural commercialization and urbanization have added to the number of land conflicts in Nigeria. Evidence from case studies suggests that shortages of cropland may be more closely associated with civil disturbances in low-income countries compared to shortages of freshwater (Kerstin and Sipangule, 2017).

Abegunde et al. (2020) maintained that land issues are a leading cause of conflict in Nigeria. The conflicts between herders and farmers are borne out of land encroachments of the herders over farmlands. Eklund and Person (2015) argued that land conflict has a high potential for aggravating food crisis, insecurity and impeding agricultural sustainability. According to Abegunde et al. (2020) land conflicts have often destroyed farmlands, crops and livestock, pollution of drinking water, loss of lives, injuries and other human and physical impacts; damages to and loss of property, social displacement, disruption of communal lives, incidences of rape and other attendant crimes.

Land is increasingly becoming a source of conflict in Imo State, Nigeria in recent times. Akujobi et al. (2016) reported that overlap of farmlands with cattle routes, encroachment into farmlands by other communities and land grabbing by government or powerful individuals have remained the major causes of land-related conflicts in the state. In 2012, it was reported that indigenes protested a government land seizure intended for development in the state. A similar report was made in 2014 when a group of women protested over the incessant sexual assault meted out to them by pastoralists (The Fund for Peace, 2015). Chikaire et al. (2018) acknowledged the incidence of land-related conflicts in Imo State and observed that land conflicts are seriously hindering agricultural productivity in the Ohaji area of Imo State, Nigeria. The increasing and almost recurrent nature of land-related conflicts in the state necessitates the implementation of measures to curb them.

Wehrmann (2008) stressed the importance of reducing conflicts over land. Kwaja and Ademola-Adelehin (2018) noted that government at all levels, civil society groups and communities have responded to conflicts in different ways in Africa. They maintained that such measures as the creation of grazing reserves, establishment of centers for nomadic education, deployment of security, enactment of bills prohibiting open grazing and community-level conflict management and resolution have been tried. Similarly, Chikaire et al. (2018) reported

the imposition of curfew, setting up of a judicial commission of inquiry, mediation by elders, use of sanctions and effective communication to resolve conflicts in Nigeria.

While measures taken at the government level to address land-related conflicts have been explored, the empirical literature is scarce on the strategies taken by local farmers to cope with the effects of land conflict on agriculture. Based on this observed gap, the study has been designed to examine strategies used by crop farmers to cope with the effects of arable land conflict in Imo State, Nigeria.

Objectives of the study

The broad objective of the study is to examine the strategies used by crop farmers to cope with the effects of land conflict on crop production in Imo State, Nigeria. The specific objectives are:

1. Identify the crops produced by the farmers;
2. Ascertain perceived causes of land conflict in the area;
3. Identify the perceived effects of land conflict on crop production; and
4. Determine the strategies used by the farmers to cope with the effects of land conflict.

METHODOLOGY

The study was carried out in Imo State in 2021. The state is situated in the Southeastern part of Nigeria and lies between latitudes 4°45' and 7°15' N and longitudes 6°50' and 7°25' E. The state is divided into three agricultural zones namely: Owerri (12 LGAs), Orlu (9 LGAs) and Okigwe (6 LGAs). It is bordered by Abia State on the east, by the River Niger on the West, by Anambra State to the north and River State to the south. Imo State occupies a landmass of about 5,530 km² with a total projected population of 5,408,800 persons in 2017 (National Population Commission, 2017). Agriculture is the major source of income for most of the 3.9 million inhabitants of the area though most inhabitants are government employees. The staple food crops grown in the area include; cassava, cocoyam, yam, maize, okra, garden egg, pepper, melon and vegetables, etc.

All crop farmers in Imo State constituted the population for the study. A multistage sampling technique was used in selecting the sample. In the first stage, the three agricultural zones in the state were selected using the purposive sampling technique. This was done to ensure all farmers in the state were used in the study. In the second stage, 70% of the LGAs that make up each agricultural zone was selected using a proportionate sampling technique to give 8 for the Owerri zone, 6 for the Orlu zone and 4 for the Okigwe zone. Then, the LGAs were selected using a simple random sampling technique. In the third stage, two autonomous communities were selected from each of the selected LGAs using a simple random sampling technique to give a total of 36 communities. In the fourth stage, 8 farmers were selected from each community using the snowball sampling technique to give a total of 288 crop farmers.

Data for the study were collected using a structured interview schedule. The data were analyzed using percentages and weighted.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Crops produced by the farmers

The prominent crops included maize (94.1%), leafy vegetables (86.8%), yam (77.0%), fruity vegetable (73.5%) and plantain (72.8%); the least cultivated crop was groundnut (7%) (Figure 1). FAO et al. (2018) stated that the major crops grown in the southern rainforest zone of Nigeria are maize, cassava and sorghum. This

result suggests that the farmers practiced diversification in crop production. Inoni et al. (2021) reported that land scarcity was the major factor behind crop diversification by farmers in the rainforest zone of Nigeria. The result also indicates that the farmers grew both cash and food crops, perhaps to raise cash income and meet the nutritional needs of their families. Also, the increasing competition for land has shrunken the amount of land available to farmers and in response, they resorted to the cultivation of different kinds of crops on their farmlands.




Figure 1. Crops by the farmers.

The result further showed that some crops were cultivated by more farmers than others. Some crops are known to survive harsh conditions that could be brought about by conflicts more than others. For example, cassava is known for its ability to survive marginal conditions. Conflict can keep farmers away from their farms for a long time. Only crops that thrive during unfavourable conditions will thrive. Similarly, some crops mature faster than others while requiring minimal inputs to give good yields. Since conflict contracts farmers' investments on their farms, they are more likely to cultivate hardy crops like cassava and early maturing crops to reduce risks. Mitchell (2019) noted that conflict can change an individual's risk preferences which can affect the agricultural decision-making process.

Perceived causes of land conflict

The notable causes of land conflict included undocumented agreement over land use between individuals (97.2%), lack of marked boundaries (89.5%), increasing the

value of land (89.5%), new laws, policies, or programmes (72.8%), migration (72.8%) and land grabbing (72.8%). This finding suggests that land conflicts in Nigeria are complicated. According to Conroy (2014), conflicts surrounding land issues in Nigeria are multi-faceted and complex, with dynamics that change over time and depending on the area of the country where they occur.

Environmental factors such as climate change trigger land conflicts in Nigeria. These have resulted in resource scarcity. For example, drought and desertification have led to southward migration. This has caused serious struggles for the diminishing land resources, leading to fierce conflicts (Benjaminsen et al., 2012). Mworio and Ndiku (2012) indicated land-use disputes and non-acceptance of boundaries as the major drivers of land conflict in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. Ikurekong et al. (2012) reported a similar finding in Nigeria where land conflicts were believed to be caused by unpaid land compensation or non-payment of complete land compensation by either investor(s) or government and unilateral issuance of land permission/decision on a particular land's function.

Animal grazing is also a major cause of land conflict in Nigeria (Auma, 2016). According to Auma (2016) farmers with large sizes of animals find difficulties in confining them at a place for grazing because of limited land size. They move with their animals every day searching for better pasture and water. And as they move, sometimes the animals enter people's farms and destroy their crops. This situation is worse during dry seasons because of limited grasses. The movement of herdsmen down south has caused constant clashes with farmers which have resulted in great damages to human, material and natural resources in many states in Nigeria (Egbuta, 2018). These conflicts are triggered by climate change, depleting space for farming, and the lack of political will of the government.

Perceived effects of land conflict on crop production

The notable effects of land conflict on crop production

included a decline in income ($\bar{X} = 3.8$), lack of financial resources ($\bar{X} = 3.8$), shortage of inputs ($\bar{X} = 3.8$), increase in the cost of inputs ($\bar{X} = 3.8$), lack of market for produce ($\bar{X} = 3.8$), land redundancy ($\bar{X} = 3.7$), improperly timed farming activities ($\bar{X} = 3.7$), loss lives ($\bar{X} = 3.7$) and reduction in plot size ($\bar{X} = 3.5$, S.D = 1.0) (Table 1). The result implies that land conflict has varied but mostly adverse effects on crop production.

Abegunde et al. (2020) found a significant difference in the output from a plot affected by conflict as against a plot not affected by conflict. The fear of loss of investments in crops may discourage farmers from cultivating a large expanse of land. This is because farmers are risk-averse or in worst-case scenarios minimize losses. Muyanga (2011) observed that land conflicts limit investments farmers make on their lands. Similarly, Muyanga and Gitau (2013) argued that land conflict even if small-scale in nature have impacts on incentives to invest on land and consequently on agricultural productivity.

Table 1. Distribution of respondents according to perceived effects of land conflict on crop production.

Perceived effects of land conflicts	\bar{X}	S. D
Reduction in the quantity of fertilizer applied	2.5	1.0
Reduction in the number of agrochemicals applied	3.7	1.0
Decline in plot size	3.5*	1.0
Decline in crop intensity	2.5	1.0
Rejection/minimal adoption of improved crop production technologies	2.5	1.0
Shortage of labour	2.5	1.0
Reduction in crop yield	3.4*	1.0
Increase in the cost of labor	3.4*	1.0
Reduction in soil fertility	3.5*	1.0
Reduction in daily agricultural wage	2.7	1.0
Lack of market for produce	3.8*	1.0
High cost of transportation	3.6*	1.0
Loss of lives	3.7*	1.0
Affects the type of crop cultivated	3.6*	1.0
Changes in land use	2.5	1.0
The decline in the availability of agricultural infrastructure such as irrigation	3.8*	1.0
Increase in the cost of inputs	3.8*	1.0
Migration of agricultural workers	3.7*	1.0
Changes in the timing of crop planting	3.6*	1.0
Land redundancy	3.7*	1.0
Loss of capital investment	3.6*	1.0
Loss of stored produce	3.7*	1.1
Loss of crops on farmland	3.8*	1.0
Abandonment of crop production	3.6*	1.0
Migration to urban centers	3.7*	1.1
Shortage of inputs such as seeds	3.8*	1.0
Lack of financial resources	3.8*	1.0
Decline in income	3.8*	1.0
Improperly timed farming activities like weeding	3.7*	1.0

* Perceived effects of land conflict.

Coping strategies for the effects of land conflict on crop production

The result shows that the farmers used several strategies for coping with the effects of land conflict on crop production in the area (Table 2). The prominent strategies they used were divesting from crop production ($\bar{X} = 4.0$), diversification of farm enterprises ($\bar{X} = 3.9$), appeasing other parties ($\bar{X} = 3.9$), praying for peace ($\bar{X} = 3.9$) and shifting to less risky income-generating activities ($\bar{X} = 3.8$). This result agrees with the findings of a study by Adisa (2012) who stated that farmers used a combination of problem-oriented, emotion-oriented and social support coping strategies for the effects of conflicts.

Divesting from the agricultural sector is often resorted to in extreme conditions. This could occur when the safety of the farmer's investment is uncertain. If the risks associated with investing in crop production in a conflict-

prone region are high, farmers might choose to invest in other aspects of agriculture. For example, crops take a gestation period and this might make farmers go for options that give quicker returns to investments. Even in the crop sector, farmers might reduce risks by cultivating fast-maturing, resilient and low-energy demanding crops. The dominance of arable crops can be observed in conflict-prone areas.

Diversification of farm enterprises is another reliable way of coping with conflict. A farmer may choose to go into several operations to reduce loss. This is done in case one enterprise fails. Raj (2010) stated that diversification is among the strategies aimed at stabilizing income and securing against risks, mainly climatic and natural. Diversification influences differentiation and often increases income, which is made independent of one source. Makate et al. (2016) found that crop diversification stabilizes farming income, improves economic stability and promotes sustainable production.

Table 2. Coping strategies for the effects of land conflicts on crop production.

Coping strategies	\bar{X}	S. D
Diversification of farm enterprise	3.9*	1.0
Divesting from crop production	4.0*	1.0
Shift to less risky income-generating activities	3.8*	1.0
Cultivated less plot size to minimize loss	3.7*	1.0
Bought food	2.1	1.0
Prepared for the worst	2.6	1.0
Sold farm	2.6	1.0
Borrowed money	2.6	1.0
Appeased other party	3.9*	1.0
Prayed for peace	3.9*	1.0
Invested more in crop production	3.7*	1.0
Migrated	2.1	1.0
Avoided farming in faraway farms	3.7*	1.0
Tightening farm security	3.9*	1.0
Working harder	3.9*	1.0
Using experience	3.9*	1.0
Using charms	2.1	1.0
Sought litigation	3.7*	1.0
Early harvesting	3.9*	1.0
Multiple farm plots	2.1	1.0
Increased farm size	2.6	1.0
Supplementary occupation	3.9*	1.0
Help relatives' friends	4.0*	1.0
Insurance policy	3.9*	1.0
NGO support	3.8*	1.0
Help from government	3.8*	1.0
Planting early maturing crops	3.9*	1.0
Help from union association	4.0*	1.0

Source: Field Survey Data, 2021.

Furthermore, mediation and legal actions can be used in the resolution of land conflicts. Sturrock (2015) held that mediation encourages disputing parties to find a mutually acceptable way forward. He maintained that mediation is most effective when people are unable to negotiate for themselves. Mediation, however, reduces the cost of conflict resolution as well as creates room for confidentiality, creativity and control. However, mediation can be stalled by lack of training or support, low confidence, lack of authority over issues, and a longer time for conflict resolution.

Legal action has its benefits in conflict resolution. For instance, it goes with authority where the judge has the power to preside over an issue. But, legal actions generally are cost-intensive and take a longer time.

CONCLUSION

The study found that the farmers in the study area planted mostly annual crops. The major causes of arable land conflict in the study area were undocumented agreements over land use, lack of marked boundaries, increasing value of land, and new laws and policies. Land conflicts generally impacted negatively on crop production by limiting investments in cropland and reducing the number of inputs applied on the croplands. However, the coping strategies used by the farmers included reducing investments in the crop, diversification of agricultural enterprises, and use of several conflict resolution strategies.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of the study, the following recommendations were made:

1. Transparent use of natural resources should be encouraged. This should take into consideration the rights of local people.
2. Existing land governance systems should be reviewed. This implies overhauling the existing land use acts with the view of addressing lapses.
3. Climate change policies should be enacted by international communities such as the United Nations and the African Union. The Nigerian government should be made to implement the policies to help reduce climate change-induced land conflicts. This will take care of conflicts induced by climate change and other natural disasters.

REFERENCES

- Abegunde VO, Alawode OO, Sibanda M, 2020.** Socioeconomic impact of land use conflict in Nigeria: a study of agricultural production in Southwest, Nigeria. *Nig J Econ Soc Stud*, 62(2): 127 – 146.
- Adisa R, 2012.** Land use conflict between farmers and herdsmen:

- implications for agricultural and rural development in Nigeria. Retrieved from <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/224830400>.
- Akujobi CT, Amuzie HO, Ebitari S, 2016.** Arable land resource conflict in Nigeria. *J Appl Sci Dev*, 7(1 -2): 39 – 65.
- Auma S, 2016.** Land conflict, gender and agricultural production: a case of Apac District, Northern Uganda. M.Sc. thesis. Department of International and Development Studies, Norwegian University of Life Science.
- Benjaminsen TA, Alinon K, Buhaug H, Buseth JT, 2012.** Does climate change drive land-use conflicts in the Sahel? *J Peace Res*, 49(1): 97 – 111.
- Chikaire JU, Onoh PA, Ugwoke FO, Okereke-Ejiogu EN, 2018.** Rural farmers' perception of conflict resolution as a strategic tool for grassroot development in Imo State, Nigeria. *Appl Trop Agric*, 23(1): 41 – 46.
- Conroy S, 2014.** Land conflicts and lethal violence in Nigeria: patterns, mapping and evolution (2006 - 2014). IFRA-Nigeria Working Papers Series, 38, 1 – 38.
- Egbuta U, 2018.** Understanding the herder-farmer conflict in Nigeria. *Conflict Trends 2018/3*. Nigeria: Pastoralism.
- Eklund L, Person A, 2015.** Cropland changes in times of conflict, reconstruction and economic development in Iraqi Kurdistan. *Ambio*, 45, 78 – 88.
- FAO, 2011.** The State of the world's land and water resources for food and agriculture (SOLAW) – managing systems at risk. FAO, Rome and Earthscan London.
- FAO, 2020.** The state of the world fisheries and aquaculture. Sustainability in action. Rome. <https://doi.org/10.4060/ca922en>.
- FAO, IFAD, IOM, WFP, 2018.** The linkages between migration, agriculture, food security and rural development. Technical report. FAO, Rome. pp. 80.
- Headey D, Jayne TS, 2014.** Adaptation to land constraints: Is Africa different? *Food Policy*, 48, 18 – 33.
- Ikurekong EE, Sunday UA, Esin JO, 2012.** Communal conflict and resource development in Ini local government area of Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. *Int J Peace Dev Stud*, 3(5): 98 – 106.
- Inoni OE, Gani BS, Sabo E, 2021.** Drivers of crop diversification: evidence from smallholder farmers in Delta State, Nigeria. *ACTA Universitatis Agriculturae Et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis*, 69(1): 59 – 70.
- International Labour Organization (ILO), 2020.** COVID-19 and the impact on agriculture and food security. ILO Sectoral Brief. ILO, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Kerstin N, Sipangule K, 2017.** Land use competition in sub-Saharan Africa's rural areas. Policy Brief, August. PEGNET. Kiel, Germany. Available at www.pegnet.ifw-kiel.de.
- Kwaja CMA, Ademola-Adelehin BI, 2018.** Farmers and herders in the middle belt of Nigeria: mapping past efforts and opportunities for violence prevention. Search for Common Ground.
- Makate C, Wang R, Makate M, Mango N 2016.** Crop diversification and livelihoods of smallholder farmers in Zimbabwe: adaptive management for environmental change. *Springerplus*, 5(1): 1135. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-2802-4>.
- Mitchell H, 2019.** The effects of conflict on household agricultural investment in Nigeria. Economics Honours Project, 90.
- Muyanga M, 2011.** Land dispute and the impact they have on agricultural productivity: an economic study from Kenya. Policy Brief No. 8. CEEPA, University of Pretoria.
- Muyanga M, Gitau R, 2013.** Do land disputes affect smallholder agricultural productivity? Evidence from Kenya. *J Econ Sustain Dev*, 4(14): 112 – 121.
- Mworia L, Ndiku JM, 2012.** Interethnic conflict in Kenya: a case of Tharaka-Tigania conflict, causes, effects and intervention strategies. *J Res Peace Gen Dev*, 2(7): 162 – 170.
- National Population Commission, 2017.** Demographic statistics bulletin. Available at <https://nigerianstat.gov.ng>.
- Omotara AO, 2016.** Conflicts over farmland and its socioeconomic effects on rural residents of Southwestern, Nigeria. *Nig J Rur Soc*, 16(3): 29 - 34.
- Reliefweb, 2020.** State of the world's forests 2020: forests, biodiversity and people. Available at <https://reliefweb.int/report/world/state-world-forests-2020-biodiversity-and-peopl-enarru>.

- Raj T, 2010.** Diversification of small and marginal farms in Himachal Pradesh. *J Agric Econ*, 7, 7 – 16.
- Sturrock J, 2015.** Making better use of mediation to resolve disputes and manage difficult issues. Available at <https://mediate.com/articles/SturrockJ35.cfm>.
- The Fund for Peace, 2015.** Conflict bulletin: Imo State. Patterns and trends, January 2012 – June 2015.
- Wehrmann B, 2008.** Land conflicts: a practical guide to dealing with land conflicts. Eschbon, GTZ.

Citation: Chibundu SN, Matthews-Njoku EN, Okereke-Ejiogu EN, Umunakwe PC, Enugwu JO, Oshaji IO, 2022. Strategies for coping with the effects of agricultural land conflict among crop farmers in Imo State, Nigeria. *Net J Agric Sci*, 10(1): 30-36.
